

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,360	04/24/2001	Franc J. Camara	205716	6257
23460	7590 08/23/2006		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
	STETSON AVENUE	4900	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	L 60601-6780		2174	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/841,360	CAMARA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Steven P. Sax	2174					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/06.							
_	action is non-final.						
							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority and 00 0.0.0.3 110(a)	(4) 5. (1).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage				
application from the International Bureau	· ·		J				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTC	J-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-, <u> </u>						

Application/Control Number: 09/841,360 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined. The amendment filed 5/06 has been entered.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinson et al (6144391) and Nemiroff et al (US 2002/0075399).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Hinson et al show the method for presenting a video stream captured by a video streaming device having no captured image storage (Figure 2, column 4 lines 1-30 and 40-50 the store is separate from the video streaming device itself and this is one of the purposes of the invention), including: presenting a view of the video stream currently captured by the video streaming device to the user (column 7 lines 10-20), contemporaneously presenting images previously captured by the video streaming device to the user (column 7 lines 25-45, column 8 lines 42-60). Nemiroff et al do not go into the specific details of the device itself being a video capturing device that is capturing while it is presenting the previously captured images,

Application/Control Number: 09/841,360 Page 3

Art Unit: 2174

but do mention efficient storage and presentation coordination. Furthermore, Nemiroff et al do show the video capturing device which captures while it presents previously captured images, for efficient coordination of storage and presentation (Figures 1-2, para 9, 24, 27, 36). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Hinson et al, because it would allow efficient coordination of storage and presentation.

- 5. Regarding claim 2, note the medium (such as Hinson et al column 6 lines 15-20).
- 6. Regarding claim 3, the captured images are retrieved from a storage location accessible by a computer and presented to the user on the display of the computer (Hinson et al Figure 4, column 7 lines 55-67, column 8 lines 42-65).
- 7. Regarding claim 4, the storage location is a virtual folder (Hinson et al column 10 lines 25-35).
- 8. Regarding claim 5, a user interface is displayed to the user having a streaming video display area in which the view of the video stream is displayed and a captured image display area in which the previously captured images are displayed (Hinson et al column 8 lines 49-65, column 10 lines 40-50, column 11 lines 10-28).

Application/Control Number: 09/841,360

Art Unit: 2174

9. Regarding claim 6, the interface has a control for capturing a still image from the view of the video stream being displayed, and in response to activating the control, capturing the still image and adding it to the previously captured images. (Hinson et al column 8 lines 42-65, column 10 lines 25-45).

Page 4

- 10. Claim 7 shows the same features as claim 6 and is rejected for the same reasons.
- 11. Regarding claim 8, there may be a break in communication between the video streaming device and the computer between the time that the previously captured images were taken and the time the view is presented (Hinson et al column 9 lines 3-21 and 45-61).
- 12. Regarding claim 9, the computer may be turned off between the time that the previously captured images were taken and the time the view is presented (Hinson et al column 9 lines 3-21 and 45-61).
- 13. Regarding claim 10, in addition to that mentioned for claim 1, note the folder that normally holds icons and displaying the icon to preview the video stream with the previously captured images (Hinson et al column 8 lines 28-42).

Application/Control Number: 09/841,360

Art Unit: 2174

14. Regarding claim 11, note the medium (such as Hinson et al column 6 lines 15-20).

Page 5

- 15. Regarding claim 12, the interface has a control for capturing a still image from the view of the video stream being displayed, and in response to activating the control, capturing the still image and adding it to the previously captured images (Hinson et al column 8 lines 42-65, column 10 lines 25-45).
- 16. Regarding claim 13, the streaming video device is recognized as one from which images have been previously captured, and still images from storage locations are retrieved (Hinson et al column 7 lines 5-25).
- 17. Claims 14-16 show the same features as claims 1-2 and 6 respectively, and are rejected for the same reasons.
- 18. Regarding claim 17, software modules have a still image processing layer for processing the user captured image and presenting it to other software modules (Hinson et al column 7 lines 20-35 and column 9 lines 30-45).
- 19. Regarding claim 18, the software modules have a filter module for determining whether the video streaming device has a still image pin and if so exposes

Application/Control Number: 09/841,360

Art Unit: 2174

the device to the still image processing layer as a still image device (Hinson et al column 7 lines 20-46, column 8 lines 42-65, column 10 lines 25-45).

- 20. Regarding claim 19, the file management software module represents the video streaming device and further reinforces the illusory concept that the previously captured images were stored on the device itself (Hinson et al column 7 lines 25-45, column 8 lines 42-60, column 10 lines 25-35).
- 21. Regarding claim 20, the user selects the video streaming device using the file management software module which retrieves the previously captured images and presents them to the user as if they were stored on the device itself (Hinson et al column 10 lines 20-40).
- 22. Regarding claim 21, the medium has means for storing the previously captured images (Hinson et al column 5 lines 45-55 or column 6 lines 1-20 for example).
- 23. Regarding claim 22, the storing means is a virtual folder (Hinson et al column 10 lines 25-35).
- 24. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2174

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P. Sax whose telephone number is (571) 272-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

STEVEN SAX